SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, OCTOBER 3, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S065233

PEOPLE v. SMITH (FLOYD DANIEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Joseph E. Chabot's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by December 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 1, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S087533

PEOPLE v. POPS (ASWAD) & WILSON (BYRON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Joseph E. Chabot's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Byron Wilson's opening brief by February 3, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 5, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted.

S092240

PEOPLE v. BOYCE (KEVIN DEWAYN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Douglas Ward's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by February 27, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 28, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S124131

PEOPLE v. BARRETT (JOSEPH ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 29, 2011.

S132449

PEOPLE v. PETERSON (SCOTT LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 29, 2011.

S141519

PEOPLE v. HIN (MAO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 6, 2011.

S166477

MUNGIA (JOHN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Shelley J. Sandusky's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by May 31, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 29, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

S178504

DALTON (KERRY LYN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Jolie Lipsig's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by January 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 29, 2011. After that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 420 additional days are contemplated.

S189317 B215387 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

PEOPLE v. FAVOR (BRANDON ALEXANDER)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to October 31, 2011.

S190245 F059133 Fifth Appellate District

IN RE L.L.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief is extended to October 21, 2011.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S195922

HINTON (ERIC LAMONT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to November 28, 2011.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 995)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)